## DETAILED ACTION

The previous Office action is hereby vacated. An action on the merits follows.

#### Flection/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in the reply filed on January 2, 2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are not independent and the search of both inventions does not present a burden to the examiner. This is not found persuasive for several reasons.

The stopper is classified in 215/355 and 215/364. Only the final product is required for this classification. This would include a thick coating applied to a stopper core. One of ordinary skill in the art would not be readily aware of how the stopper was formed. The method of forming the stopper can be classified in 264/248. This would be classified differently from a stopper formed by injecting two liquid plastic materials injected into a mold core.

The bonding of a skin to a stopper core can involve a variety of processes. These include heat and pressure. Wherein the method claims of the instant invention involve heat while the product claims do not, there is no single inventive concept between the groups of claims.

Moreover, the consideration of the method claims present issues not necessary for the consideration of the product claims, e.g., applying a skin to a stopper core. Further, issues can arise with regard to the method claims which are not readily understandable to the examiner since the method of making the stopper does not fall within the purview of the examiner's art area. The method claims are best examined in the art area in which it is classified.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,914 Page 3

Art Unit: 3781

Claims 23-29 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on January 2, 2009.

# Drawings

- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the elastic and plastic materials in the cross section views as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing, MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the elastic and plastic materials must

Application/Control Number: 10/525,914

Art Unit: 3781

be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). See MPEP § 608.02(d). No new matter should be entered

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

6. The drawings are objected to because the crosshatching of the stopper is inconsistent with standard US patent drawings. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to

Application/Control Number: 10/525,914

Art Unit: 3781

the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 14-22 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagayanagi Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha (JP 2973249).

Nagayanagi Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha discloses the claimed invention except for the specific claimed materials.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the stopper of Nagayanagi Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha of any known material as necessary for its use, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. Doing so provides a stopper of a material suitable for any desired purpose.

Claims 18 and 27-29 are product-by-process claims and do not structurally limit the final product. MPEP § 2113.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,914 Page 6

Art Unit: 3781

### Conclusion

- 9. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 11. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720/80 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720/80 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 12. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

I hereby cert	tify that this correspond	ondence for App	lication Serial No.	is being facsimiled	to
The U.S. Patent and	Trademark Office v	ia fax number 5	71-273-8300 on th	ne date shown below:	

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate				
Signature				
Date				

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. Art Unit: 3781

(Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Anthony Stashick, can be reached on (571) 272-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

April 13, 2009

/Robin A. Hylton/ Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3781